

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/580,023	Applicant(s) POULIQUEN ET AL.
	Examiner SATYANARAYANA GUDIBANDE	Art Unit 1654
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____	
(1) <u>SATYANARAYANA GUDIBANDE.</u> (2) <u>Ms. Lacy Kolo.</u>	(3) <u>Mr. Laskoski.</u> (4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>29 April 2011</u>	Time: _____	
<p>Type of Interview:</p> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) </p> <p> Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____ </p> <p>Part I.</p> <p>Rejection(s) discussed: <i>DP</i></p> <p>Claims discussed: <i>26-29 and 34</i></p> <p>Prior art documents discussed: <i>None</i></p> <p>Part II.</p> <p>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Ms. Kolo and Mr. Laskoski was contacted with an examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Laskoski agreed to the proposed amendments on 5/19/11.</i></p> <p>Part III.</p> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. </p>		
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> /SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE/ Examiner, Art Unit 1654 </div> <div> (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) </div> </div>		